

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,237	09/27/2000	William Robert Caid	5382	7863
Fair, Isaac and Company, Inc.			EXAMINER	
			HIRL, JOSEPH P	
200 Smith Ranch Road San Rafael, CA 94903-5551			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	$\forall$
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/672,237	CAID ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph P. Hirl	2121				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		·				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note that period for reply (including a total extension of time of)	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on					
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
☑ The reason(s) below:						
See Continuation Sheet	ANIL KHAPRI					
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER					
		121103				

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 8

## Tontinuation Sheet (PTOL-1432)

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: On November 12, 2003, the attorney of record resigned. USPT acknowledged the resignation and indicated that all further correspondance would be sent directly to Fair, Isaac and Company, Inc. On April 9, 2003, a first office action was sent to Fair, Isaac and Company and no response has been received. On December 8, 2003, Fair, Isaac and Company was contacted and they indicated that they had no record of the application. General Counsel, David Munn, further indicated that there was no record of the application. On December 9, the Examiner received a call from Judy Thomas (52283) of the Glenn Patent Group (650-474-8400) who indicated that they had responsibility for the application but the first office action that had been mailed to Fair, Isaac and Company had not been forwarded to the application file and further, no recent filings had been made with USPTO. The Examiner informed Judy Thomas that since she was not an attrorney of record, no documents related to the applications could be sent to her. Further, since no response had been received by the USPTO related to the first office action, the Examiner would be placing the application into the status of abandonment.